

| | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/902,406 | SHIGEHARA, MAKOTO | |
| | Examiner Phuoc H. Nguyen | Art Unit 2143 | |

All Participants:

Status of Application: 09/902,406

(1) Phuoc H. Nguyen.

(3) _____.

(2) Paul Esatto, Reg. No. 30,749.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 July 2006

Time: 3:00PM

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner contacted Applicant's representative regarding whether a response to the latest office action had been sent. Applicant's representative indicated that it was the intent of the Applicant to let the application go abandon

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)